Route

Alternative B3

I-15 Route

Jefferson Valley I-15

No Action Alternative

Alternative AB1

Route

Creek

Table 2-5 Alternative Routes Comparison - Montana

scenery on Big Hole River

Most miles of new line not parallel to

other existing lines. This route would

parallel the least miles of

designated corridor or existing

considered in Montana. This route

switching station not required by

any other alternative.

transmission line of any of the routes

impacts in Red Crosses Class A scenery on Big Hole

currently exist would also require the addition of a

Concern about

Rock Creek

valley

Public concern

No Impact

Creek; visual impacts to

Big Sheep Backcountry

Crosses fishing access site

on Link 16-1; crosses 3

miles of conservation

of Medicine Lodge

Creek; crosses pivot

Creek valley

irrigation in Red Rock

preferred by agencies

than the 1-15 corridor

Corridor preference of

agencies; I-15 helps

already impacted; agricultural land and

visual impacts in Red

Rock Creek valley

There are no existing

lines in the Jefferson

Valley and there are

no designated utility

corridors.

No Impact

establish this corridor as Subdivsion crossed easement at the mouth

			Links	gth (miles)	ands Crossed (miles) Lands Crossed (miles)	(millions of	Ground Disturbance Estimates f (acres)	Environmental Impacts														
		Alternative Routes						Visua	l Impacts	В	Biological Resources		Soil Erosion		Land Uses			Cultural Resources	Water Resources	Public Acceptability	Notes	
					Public La (r Private La	dollars)	Temp Perm	Impact Level (miles)	Visual Compatibilit y	Impact Level (miles)	Migration Corridors and Habitats	Impact Level (miles)	Impact Level (miles)		Houses within 500 feet	Corridor Utilization	Subdivisions Crossed	Other Direct Conflicts	Impact Level (miles) and comments	Streams Crossed		
	Melrose)	Alternative A1 Preferred Route	1,3-1,7-2,7- 41,7-42,7- 5,7-8,11- 22,11-21,7- 9,11-23	113	28.6 84.5	<b>\$</b> 157.5*	509 407	M - 63.2 H - 26.9	8.5 miles	M - 87.0 H - 0.9	Very high- and low- priority migration corridors crossed; waterfowl production at Missouri River crossing, big game winter habitat	M - 33.9	M - 19.4 H - 2.0	90	22	Best balance between minimizing impacts and using corridors	Subdivided land north of Cardwell; several crossings of subdivided lands from south Butte area and Buxton; subdivision near Divide		H - 2.1 Pipestone Mining District along Link 7-2	27	Least public and agency concern	Least miles of significant visual impacts; least overall impact between Townsend and Mill Creek
	d to Mill Creek (	Alternative A2 Parallel Colstrip Route	1,4-1,4-2,7- 9,11-21,11- 22,11-23		69.5 66.1	\$135.6*	537 538	M - 77.1 H - 27.6	18.1 miles	M - 105.6 H - 3.2	Tree clearing across the Beaverhead Deerlodge National Forest would be concern for classic habitat fragmentation; waterfowl production concern at Missouri River crossing, summer elk on forest	M - 50.5	M - 29.0 H - 1.9	32	8	No designated utility corridor by Beaverhead Deerlodge National Forest; requires 1800 feet separation from Colstrip lines for reliability	Crosses developed subdivision north of Boulder; subdivision near Divide		H - 2.6 Greatest number of documente d and eligible cultural resources	56	Crosses through developed area north of Boulder	Most soil erosion hazard; highest impact to water resources
	Townsen	Maximize Utility	2-1,2-3,7-2,7 41,7-42,7- 5,7-61,7- 62,7-72,7- 9,11-21,11- 22,11-23		28.4 100.4	\$144.3*	573 460	M - 70.7 H - 31.5	8.7 miles	M - 77.6 H - 0.7	Very high- and low- priority migration corridors crossed; waterfowl production at Missouri River crossing, big game winter habitat	M - 37.0	M - 21.7 H - 2.6	132	38	Most miles of parallel to existing transmission lines	Subdivided lands south of Butte and from here to Mill Creek; Buxton area; subdivision near Divide		H - 3.0	31	Concern for developing area in Broadwater County near I-90 and Highway 287	Most visual impacts
ana Routes	State Line	Alternative B1 Preferred Route	11-3,16-1,16 2,16-4	6- 87.2	50.4 36.8	\$103.9*	384 377	M - 35.6 H 13.2	No compatibility issues	M - 64.9 H - 10.2	Within I-15 corridor which will reduce concern for wildlife movement corridors; waterfowl production area north of Clark Canyon Reservoir on Beaverhead River, quality sage grouse habitat and lekking areas		M - 5.2 H - 1.9	9	2	Corridor preference of agencies; I-15 helps establish this corridor as already impacted; Westwide Corridor Programmatic EIS expected to match alignment east of Red Rock River valley	Subdivision crossed at Apex and Lima	Crosses fishing access site on Link 16-1; conservatior easement north of Clark Canyon Reservoir	H - 0 6	14	Strong agency preference	Visual impacts similar on all alternatives; crosses Class A scenery on Big Hole River
Montan	elrose) to S	Alternative B2 Sheep Creek	11-4,18-1	86.9	53.2 33.7	\$103.3*	383 292	M - 32.2 H - 14.6	1.5 miles	M - 78.1	Important wildlife migration through this area for large mammals and forest carnivores,	M - 2.2	M - 5.4 H - 2.9	8	5	Corridor utilized; public lands designated utility corridor for existing 230kV line, but less		Obstruction to glide path for Dell Airport; crosses 3 miles of conservation easement at the mouth of Medicine Lodge		29	Strong preference against this route by the resource	Least overall impact between Mill Creek and State Line; least impact to water resources; lowest soil erosion hazard; crosses Class A

quality sage grouse

habitat and lekking areas

Within I-15 corridor which

will reduce concern for

wildlife movement

corridors; waterfowl

Clark Canyon Reservoir on

Beaverhead River, quality

sage grouse habitat and

lekking areas

Very high- and low-

priority migration corridors

crossed; waterfowl

winter habitat, quality

sage grouse habitat and

lekking areas

M - 167.1 production at Missouri

H - 37.4 5.5 miles H - 12.2 River crossing, big game

No Impact

production area north of M - 27.1

compatibility H - 7.2

Note: The Townsend to Mill Creek alternatives must be combined with the Mill Creek to State Line alternatives to make complete Montana routes for comparison to the Jefferson Valley I-15 route. For example, the A1 and B1 alternatives are combined to form a complete Preferred Route for Montana. \* Line costs only (no station or right of way). Total for each alternative includes materials, construction labor and equipment. These costs are for comparison purposes.

No Impact

1,3-1,7-2,7-41,7-42,7-

5,7-8,11-22,11-21,7-

9,8,16-1,16-

2,16-4

88.3 | 42.9 | 45.4 | \$105.4\* | 390 | 359

209 | 86.1 | 123.1 | \$200.4\* | 925 866

2-75 BOI 031-216 (PER 02) NWE (07-18-08) JJ 112100